# **Design & Appraisal Stage Quality Assurance Report**

**Overall Project Rating: Needs Improvement** 

Approve with qualifications: The project has issues that must be addressed before the project document can be **Decision:** 

approved. Any management actions must be addressed in a timely manner.

**Project Number:** 00099802

Ce projet vise à fournir une assistance technique au Gouvernement haîtien pour la définition participative d'une **Project Title:** 

stratégie durable en matière de Gestion de Déchets Solides, tenant compte des sexospécificités et sur la base

du cadre juridique en vigueur.

01-Jan-2018 **Project Date:** 

**Strategic Quality Rating: Needs Improvement** 

## 1. Does the project's Theory of Change specify how it will contribute to higher level change? (Select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)

- 3: The project has a theory of change with explicit assumptions and clear change pathway describing how the project will contribute to outcome level change as specified in the programme/CPD, backed by credible evidence of what works effectively in this context. The project document clearly describes why the project's strategy is the best approach at this point in time.
- 2: The project has a theory of change. It has an explicit change pathway that explains how the project intends to contribute to outcome-level change and why the project strategy is the best approach at this point in time, but is backed by limited evidence.
- 1: The project does not have a theory of change, but the project document may describe in generic terms how the project will contribute to development results, without specifying the key assumptions. It does not make an explicit link to the programme/CPD's theory of change.

**Evidence Management Response** Un theorie de Changement sera etablie et validee avec le comite technique. Cette theorie devra etre integree dans le document de projet pour validation au COPIL

#### 2. Is the project aligned with the thematic focus of the UNDP Strategic Plan? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)

- 3: The project responds to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan; it addresses at least one of the proposed new and emerging areas; an issues-based analysis has been incorporated into the project design; and the project's RRF includes all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true to select this option)
- 2: The project responds to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF includes at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true to select this option)
- 1: While the project may respond to one of the three areas of development work as specified in the Strategic Plan, it is based on a sectoral approach without addressing the complexity of the development issue. None of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF. This answer is also selected if the project does not respond to any of the three areas of development work in the Strategic Plan.

#### **Evidence**

Ref: document du projet: page 7 parag 2.1.

Also it is linked to Signature solutions: d) Promote nature based solutions for a sustainable planet; and linked to SP development setting b) Accelerate structural transformations for sustainable development

**Quality Rating: Satisfactory** 

select this option)

#### Relevant

ensure the meaningful participation of spec	s are appropriately specified, prioritising the excluded and/or marginalised. Beneficiaries wi sed on evidence (if applicable.)The project has an explicit strategy to identify, engage and ified target groups/geographic areas throughout the project, including through monitoring on on the project board) (all must be true to select this option)
	s are appropriately specified, prioritising the excluded and/or marginalised. The project dentified, engaged and how meaningful participation will be ensured throughout the project
	s are not specified, or do not prioritize excluded and/or marginalised populations. The dentify or engage or ensure the meaningful participation of the target groups/geographic
O Not Applicable	
Evidence	Management Response
2.2)	municipalités (page 9,
3: Knowledge, good practices, and partition from 1-3 that best reflects this project of a series of the series of	ast lessons learned of UNDP and others informed the project design? (select the ect)  ained e.g. through peer assist sessions) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, g have been explicitly used, with appropriate referencing, to develop the project's theory of
3: Knowledge, good practices, and partition from 1-3 that best reflects this project of a series of the series of	ast lessons learned of UNDP and others informed the project design? (select the ect)  ained e.g. through peer assist sessions) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, g have been explicitly used, with appropriate referencing, to develop the project's theory of e project over alternatives.  Bedge and lessons learned backed by evidence/sources, which inform the project's theory of the explicit information in the explicit informatio
3: Knowledge, good practices, and parention from 1-3 that best reflects this project of the project design mentions knowledge and justify the approach used by the second project design mentions knowledge and justify the approach used by the project design mentions knowledge and justify the approach used by the second project design mentions knowledge but have not been used/are not sufficiently approach to the project design mentions where the p	ast lessons learned of UNDP and others informed the project design? (select the ect)  ained e.g. through peer assist sessions) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, g have been explicitly used, with appropriate referencing, to develop the project's theory of e project over alternatives.  Bedge and lessons learned backed by evidence/sources, which inform the project's theory of ficient to justify the approach selected over alternatives.

3: A participatory gender analysis on the project has been conducted. This analysis reflects on the different needs, roles and access to/control over resources of women and men, and it is fully integrated into the project document. The project establishes concrete priorities to address gender inequalities in its strategy. The results framework includes outputs and activities that specifically respond to this gender analysis, with indicators that measure and monitor results contributing to gender equality. (all must be true to

	over resources of women and men. Gender concerns are integrated document. The results framework includes outputs and activities the measure and monitor results contributing to gender equality. (all mu	at specifically respond to this gender analysis, with indicators that ust be true to select this option)  I/or data on the differential impact of the project's development
	Evidence	Management Response
	la prise en compte de la dimension genre est explicitement demontree. des indicateurs cles pour des activites sont developes et integres dans le document revise. ce document sera valide lors du comite de pilotage	des indicateurs cles pour des activites sont developes et integres dans le document revise. ce document sera valide lors du comite de pilotage
e l	Does UNDP have a clear advantage to engage in the role envisevelopment partners, and other actors? (select the option from 3: An analysis has been conducted on the role of other partner evidence supports the proposed engagement of UNDP and partner partners will contribute to outcome level change complementing the triangular cooperation have been considered, as appropriate. (all magnification of the partners of analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners outh-south and triangular cooperation may not have not been fully have been identified.  1: No clear analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners of the proposed engagement of UNDP and and/or does not coordinate with partners' interventions in this area. considered, despite its potential relevance.	rs in the area where the project intends to work, and credible is through the project. It is clear how results achieved by relevant it project's intended results. If relevant, options for south-south and just be true to select this option)  It is clear how results achieved by relevant in the project intended to work, and relatively limited our between UNDP and partners through the project. Options for a developed during project design, even if relevant opportunities our the area that the project intends to work, and relatively partners through the project. There is risk that the project overlaps
	un inventaire des principaux acteurs dans le secteur et la zone de projet a ete realise lors de l'elaboration du projet	
0	cial & Environmental Standards	Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory
-	Does the project seek to further the realization of human rights 3 that best reflects this project)  3: Credible evidence that the project aims to further the realizational laws and standards in the area of the project. Any potential identified and assessed as relevant, with appropriate mitigation and budget. (all must be true to select this option)  2: Some evidence that the project aims to further the realization human rights were identified and assessed as relevant, and approparation project design and budget.	ation of human rights, upholding the relevant international and adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were rigorously management measures incorporated into project design and on of human rights. Potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of
	1: No evidence that the project aims to further the realization of impacts on enjoyment of human rights were considered.	of human rights. Limited or no evidence that potential adverse
	Evidence	Management Response

Ref: page 7 (3e paragraphe)

2: No evidence that opportunities to streng	then environmental sustainability and poverty-environment linkages were considered.
management and mitigation measures incorpora	nmental impacts have been identified and assessed, if relevant, and appropriate ated into project design and budget.
	then environmental sustainability and poverty-environment linkages were considered.
Evidence	Management Response
Ref: Document projet page 7 et page 14	
environmental impacts and risks? [If yes, uploa reason(s) for the exemption in the evidence see  • Preparation and dissemination of reports, d	locuments and communication materials
<ul> <li>environmental impacts and risks? [If yes, uploareason(s) for the exemption in the evidence see</li> <li>Preparation and dissemination of reports, d</li> <li>Organization of an event, workshop, training</li> <li>Strengthening capacities of partners to part</li> <li>Partnership coordination (including UN coordination)</li> </ul>	ad the completed checklist as evidence. If SESP is not required, provide the ction. Exemptions include the following:  locuments and communication materials g ticipate in international negotiations and conferences
<ul> <li>environmental impacts and risks? [If yes, uploareason(s) for the exemption in the evidence see</li> <li>Preparation and dissemination of reports, do Organization of an event, workshop, training Strengthening capacities of partners to partnership coordination (including UN cooled Global/regional projects with no country leveral UNDP acting as Administrative Agent</li> </ul>	ad the completed checklist as evidence. If SEŠP is not required, provide the ction. Exemptions include the following:  locuments and communication materials g ticipate in international negotiations and conferences rdination) and management of networks
environmental impacts and risks? [If yes, uploareason(s) for the exemption in the evidence seed eason(s) for the exemption of reports, do organization of an event, workshop, training extending the Strengthening capacities of partners to part exemption of Partnership coordination (including UN cooleded) eason(s) eason(s) for the exemption in the evidence seed eason	ad the completed checklist as evidence. If SEŠP is not required, provide the ction. Exemptions include the following:  locuments and communication materials g ticipate in international negotiations and conferences rdination) and management of networks
environmental impacts and risks? [If yes, uploareason(s) for the exemption in the evidence seed eason(s) for the exemption of reports, do organization of an event, workshop, training eason(s) Strengthening capacities of partners to part eason(s) Partnership coordination (including UN coordination (including UN coordination) eason(s) e	ad the completed checklist as evidence. If SEŠP is not required, provide the ction. Exemptions include the following:  locuments and communication materials g ticipate in international negotiations and conferences rdination) and management of networks
environmental impacts and risks? [If yes, uploareason(s) for the exemption in the evidence seed eason(s) for the exemption in	ad the completed checklist as evidence. If SEŠP is not required, provide the ction. Exemptions include the following:  locuments and communication materials g ticipate in international negotiations and conferences rdination) and management of networks

- 3: The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level and relate in a clear way to the project's theory of change. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure all of the key expected changes identified in the theory of change, each with credible data sources, and populated baselines and targets, including gender sensitive, sexdisaggregated indicators where appropriate. (all must be true to select this option)
- 2: The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level, but may not cover all aspects of the project's theory of change. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators, but baselines, targets and data sources may not yet be fully specified. Some use of gender sensitive, sex-disaggregated indicators, as appropriate. (all must be true to select this option)

of out	puts and activities are not at an appropriate level and do	ons specified in selection "2" above. This includes: the project's selection not relate in a clear way to the project's theory of change; outputs are neasure the expected change, and have not been populated with so gender sensitive, sex-disaggregation of indicators.
Evid	ence	Management Response
Ref: résul	Document du projet page 34 (No_V. Tableau cadre de tats).	
	nere a comprehensive and costed M&E plan with spec management, monitoring and evaluation of the projec	cified data collection sources and methods to support evidence- ct?
	Yes	
	No	
Evid	ence	
Réf:	Document projet, page 37 Tableau VI_Plan de suivi	
in the responding must government board	governance mechanism (especially all members of the p nsibilities as specified in the terms of reference. The ToR be true to select this option).  2: The project's governance mechanism is defined in the mance roles, but individuals may not have been specified by project director/manager and quality assurance roles. (a	d in the project document, only mentioning key roles that will need to be
Evid	ence	Management Response
Réf:	Document projet, page 31 et 46	
drawi other	3: Project risks related to the achievement of results are fing on the theory of change, Social and Environmental Stanalysis. Clear and complete plan in place to manage and 2: Project risks related to the achievement of results identified.  1: Some risks may be identified in the initial project risk lo	fully described in the project risk log, based on comprehensive analysis and ards and screening, situation analysis, capacity assessments and individual mitigate each risk. (both must be true to select this option)  tified in the initial project risk log with mitigation measures identified for log, but no evidence of analysis and no clear risk mitigation measures entified and no initial risk log is included with the project document.
Evid	ence	Management Response
Voir	document Journal des risques en attache	

Efficient	Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory
This can include: i) using the theory of resources available; ii) using a portfo	ing cost-efficient use of resources been explicitly mentioned as part of the project design? of change analysis to explore different options of achieving the maximum results with the blio management approach to improve cost effectiveness through synergies with other tions (e.g., monitoring or procurement) with other partners.
Yes	
O No	
Evidence	
les ressources seront mutualisees a approche de gestion programmatique	travers des operations conjointes entre differents projets du bureau en se basant sur une
	are the project links up with other relevant on-going projects and initiatives, whether led by achieve more efficient results (including, for example, through sharing resources or
O No	
Evidence	
différentes structures de l'État. À titre développement territorial (AGLDT) du institutions impliquées dans la gouver	vers aspects d'une approche globale, en concertation avec les ressources spécialisées des d'exemple, le projet travaillera en synergie avec le projet d'Appui à la gouvernance locale et au public, qui encourage la collaboration, les stratégies et les actions cohérentes entre les rnance et le développement territorial. Des partages de couts sont prevus avec le projet ERAF rojet partagera les bureaux et ressources d'autres projets du PNUD (à Fort Liberté et à
16. Is the budget justified and suppor	ted with valid estimates?
3: The project's budget is at the	activity level with funding sources, and is specified for the duration of the project period in a multi- n valid estimates using benchmarks from similar projects or activities. Cost implications from ure have been estimated and incorporated in the budget.
inflation and foreign exchange exposu  2: The project's budget is at the	activity level with funding sources, when possible, and is specified for the duration of the project ported with valid estimates based on prevailing rates.
inflation and foreign exchange exposured 2: The project's budget is at the in a multi-year budget. Costs are supply	activity level with funding sources, when possible, and is specified for the duration of the project
inflation and foreign exchange exposured 2: The project's budget is at the in a multi-year budget. Costs are supply	activity level with funding sources, when possible, and is specified for the duration of the project ported with valid estimates based on prevailing rates.

### https://intranet.undp.org/sites/HTI/project/00099802\_layouts/15/projectqa/print/DesignAppraisalPrintV3.aspx?fid=HTI\_00099802\_DESIGNV3\_2018&y... 6/9

3: The budget fully covers all direct project costs that are directly attributable to the project, including programme management and development effectiveness services related to strategic country programme planning, quality assurance, pipeline development, policy advocacy services, finance, procurement, human resources, administration, issuance of contracts, security, travel, assets, general services, information and communications based on full costing in accordance with prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL.)

1: The budget does not reimburse UNDP for direct project co advocate for the inclusion of DPC in any project budget revisions.	
	sts. UNDP is cross-subsidizing the project and the office should
Evidence	Management Response
voir budget pluri annuels dans le document de projet (pages 40 a 45)	
Effective	Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory
18. Is the chosen implementation modality most appropriate? (s	elect from options 1-3 that best reflects this project)
3: The required implementing partner assessments (capacity there is evidence that options for implementation modalities have I choosing the selected modality, based on the development contex	
2: The required implementing partner assessments (capacity the implementation modality chosen is consistent with the results of the implementation modality chosen is consistent with the results of the implementation modality chosen is consistent with the results of the implementation modality chosen is consistent with the results of the implementation modality chosen is consistent with the results of the implementation modality chosen is consistent with the results of the implementation modality chosen is consistent with the results of the implementation modality chosen is consistent with the results of the implementation modality chosen is consistent with the results of the implementation modality chosen is consistent with the results of the implementation modality chosen is consistent with the results of the implementation modality chosen is consistent with the results of the implementation modality chosen is consistent with the results of the implementation modality chosen is consistent with the results of the implementation modality chosen is consistent with the results of the implementation of t	assessment, HACT micro assessment) have been conducted and fthe assessments.
1: The required assessments have not been conducted, but thave been considered.	here may be evidence that options for implementation modalities
Evidence	Management Response
sur la base des resultats de l'evluation realise cette annee, le choix de modalite d'execution consitente	
3: Credible evidence that all targeted groups, prioritising manaffected by the project, have been actively engaged in the design analysed and incorporated into the root cause analysis of the theo	ginalized and excluded populations that will be involved in or of the project. Their views, rights and any constraints have been been been or change which seeks to address any underlying causes of
3: Credible evidence that all targeted groups, prioritising manaffected by the project, have been actively engaged in the design analysed and incorporated into the root cause analysis of the theo exclusion and discrimination and the selection of project intervention.  2: Some evidence that key targeted groups, prioritising marginals.	ginalized and excluded populations that will be involved in or of the project. Their views, rights and any constraints have been ry of change which seeks to address any underlying causes of ons.  nalized and excluded populations that will be involved in the project, at their views, rights and any constraints have been analysed and
3: Credible evidence that all targeted groups, prioritising manaffected by the project, have been actively engaged in the design of analysed and incorporated into the root cause analysis of the theo exclusion and discrimination and the selection of project intervention.  2: Some evidence that key targeted groups, prioritising marginary been engaged in the design of the project. Some evidence the incorporated into the root cause analysis of the theory of change analysis of the theory of chan	ginalized and excluded populations that will be involved in or of the project. Their views, rights and any constraints have been ry of change which seeks to address any underlying causes of ons.  nalized and excluded populations that will be involved in the project, nat their views, rights and any constraints have been analysed and nd the selection of project interventions.
3: Credible evidence that all targeted groups, prioritising manaffected by the project, have been actively engaged in the design of analysed and incorporated into the root cause analysis of the theo exclusion and discrimination and the selection of project intervention.  2: Some evidence that key targeted groups, prioritising marginary been engaged in the design of the project. Some evidence the incorporated into the root cause analysis of the theory of change and the selection of project. Some evidence the incorporated into the root cause analysis of the theory of change and the selection of project. Some evidence the incorporated into the root cause analysis of the theory of change and the selection of project. Some evidence the incorporated into the root cause analysis of the theory of change and the selection of project.	ginalized and excluded populations that will be involved in or of the project. Their views, rights and any constraints have been ry of change which seeks to address any underlying causes of ons.  nalized and excluded populations that will be involved in the project, nat their views, rights and any constraints have been analysed and nd the selection of project interventions.
3: Credible evidence that all targeted groups, prioritising manaffected by the project, have been actively engaged in the design of analysed and incorporated into the root cause analysis of the theo exclusion and discrimination and the selection of project intervention.  2: Some evidence that key targeted groups, prioritising managed have been engaged in the design of the project. Some evidence the incorporated into the root cause analysis of the theory of change and the selection of project. Some evidence the incorporated into the root cause analysis of the theory of change and the selection of project. Some evidence the incorporated into the root cause analysis of the theory of change and the selection of project. Some evidence the incorporated into the root cause analysis of the theory of change and the selection of project intervention.	ginalized and excluded populations that will be involved in or of the project. Their views, rights and any constraints have been ry of change which seeks to address any underlying causes of ons.  nalized and excluded populations that will be involved in the project, nat their views, rights and any constraints have been analysed and nd the selection of project interventions.
<ul><li>3: Credible evidence that all targeted groups, prioritising mar</li></ul>	ing causes of exclusion and discrimination?  ginalized and excluded populations that will be involved in or

20. Does the project conduct regular monitoring activities, have explicit plans for evaluation, and include other lesson learning (e.g. through After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops), timed to inform course corrections if needed during project implementation?

#### **Evidence**

Des consultations ont eu lieu avec le Ministre de l'environnement et son cabinet autour du projet. (Voir des échanges de courriels en annexe). modalities de mise en oeuvre nationale.

24. Are key institutions and systems identified, and is there a strategy for strengthening specific/ comprehensive capacities based on capacity assessments conducted? (select from options 0-4 that best reflects this project):

	3: The project has a comprehensive strategy for strengthening specific capacities of national institutions based on a systematic
capad	etailed capacity assessment that has been completed. This strategy includes an approach to regularly monitor national cities using clear indicators and rigorous methods of data collection, and adjust the strategy to strengthen national capacities dingly.
streng	2.5: A capacity assessment has been completed. The project document has identified activities that will be undertaken to other capacity of national institutions, but these activities are not part of a comprehensive strategy to monitor and strengthen hal capacities.
	2: A capacity assessment is planned after the start of the project. There are plans to develop a strategy to strengthen specific cities of national institutions based on the results of the capacity assessment.
	1.5: There is mention in the project document of capacities of national institutions to be strengthened through the project, but no city assessments or specific strategy development are planned.
	1: Capacity assessments have not been carried out and are not foreseen. There is no strategy for strengthening specific cities of national institutions.
	Not Applicable
Evide	ence
Une	stratégie de renforcement de capacités sera developpée une fois la ligne de base du projet complétée
	nere is a clear strategy embedded in the project specifying how the project will use national systems (i.e., procurement, ring, evaluations, etc.,) to the extent possible?
monito	
monito	ring, evaluations, etc.,) to the extent possible?
monito	ring, evaluations, etc.,) to the extent possible?  Yes
monito	ring, evaluations, etc.,) to the extent possible?  Yes  No  Not Applicable
emonitor	ring, evaluations, etc.,) to the extent possible?  Yes  No  Not Applicable
Evido une :	ring, evaluations, etc.,) to the extent possible?  Yes  No  Not Applicable  ence
Evide une:	Yes  No Not Applicable  ence strategie clairement define aux pages 36 et 37  here a clear transition arrangement/ phase-out plan developed with key stakeholders in order to sustain or scale up
Evide une	Yes  No  Not Applicable  ence  strategie clairement define aux pages 36 et 37  Here a clear transition arrangement/ phase-out plan developed with key stakeholders in order to sustain or scale up (including resource mobilisation strategy)?
Evide une	Yes  No  Not Applicable  Strategie clairement define aux pages 36 et 37  Here a clear transition arrangement/ phase-out plan developed with key stakeholders in order to sustain or scale up (including resource mobilisation strategy)?  Yes

# **Quality Assurance Summary/PAC Comments**